A CONTINUOUS IMAGE OF A RADON-NIKODÝM COMPACT SPACE WHICH IS NOT RADON-NIKODÝM

ANTONIO AVILÉS AND PIOTR KOSZMIDER

ABSTRACT. We construct a continuous image of a Radon-Nikodým compact space which is not Radon-Nikodým compact, solving the problem posed in the 80ties by Isaac Namioka.

1. Introduction

Recall that a Banach space X has the Radon-Nikodým property if and only if the Radon-Nikodým theorem holds for vector measures with values in X (see [11]). This property plays a central role in the theory of vector measures. It has been clear for long time that dual Banach spaces with the Radon-Nikodým property and their weak* compact subsets play special role in this theory [31, 35]. Isaac Namioka [24] defined a compact space to be Radon-Nikodým compact (or RN for short) if and only if it is homeomorphic to a weak* compact subset of a dual Banach space with the Radon-Nikodým property. For example, as reflexive Banach spaces are dual spaces with the Radon-Nikodým property, the results of [10] imply that Eberlein compact spaces are RN compact. Already in [24] a number of interesting properties of RN compacta are proven, as well as an elegant internal characterization of RN compacta is given. The investigation of this class of compact spaces continued later, with some remarkable results like the relation with Corson and Eberlein compact spaces [28, 36].

But the question which has attracted more attention and produced a larger literature on RN compacta is the following very basic problem, already posed in [24] and traced in [14] to [17] which has remained open up to this date:

Is the class of RN compact spaces closed under continuous images?

A number of partial positive results to the above question of continuous images of RN compacta have been proven. If L is a continuous image of an RN compact space, then L is RN compact if any of the following conditions hold:

(1) L is almost totally disconnected [3], meaning that $L \subset [0,1]^I$ and for every $x \in L$, $|\{i \in I : x_i \in (0,1)\}| \leq \omega$. This includes in particular the cases when L is zero-dimensional (attributed independently to Reznichenko [2])

1

First author by was supported by MEC and FEDER (Project MTM2008-05396), Fundación Séneca (Project 08848/PI/08), $Ramon\ y\ Cajal\ contract\ (RYC-2008-02051)$ and an FP7-PEOPLE-ERG-2008 action.

The second author was partially supported by the National Science Center research grant DEC-2011/01/B/ST1/00657. He also expresses his gratitude to the Functional Analysis group in Murcia for constant and ongoing support which included organizing several visits to the University of Murcia and made this research possible.

- and when L is Corson [36], and less obviously also the case when L is linearly ordered [6].
- (2) The weight of L is less than cardinal \mathfrak{b} [5].
- (3) L is the union of two RN compact subspaces $L = L_1 \cup L_2$ and some special hypothesis hold, like $L_1 \cap L_2$ being metrizable, G_δ or scattered, or when L_1 is a retract of L or when $L \setminus L_1$ is scattered [23].

Other articles devoted to the problem of the continuous image include [4, 14, 19, 25]. More information can be found in [7, 12, 13, 26], which are dedicated to the topic, or contain sections dedicated to it. The purpose of this article is to provide a negative solution to the general problem:

Theorem 1.1. There exists a continuous surjection $\pi : \mathbb{L}_0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}_1$ such that \mathbb{L}_0 is a zero-dimensional RN compact space but \mathbb{L}_1 is not RN compact.

This contrasts with other similar classes of compact spaces arising in functional analysis, like Eberlein compacta (weakly compact subsets of Banach spaces) or Corson compacta (compact subsets of Σ -products), for which the stability under continuous images happened to be a nontrivial fact, but was finally shown to hold true in [8] and [18] respectively. The class of RN compact spaces, on the other hand, does show other permanence properties present also for Eberlein compact spaces and many other classes of compact spaces playing important roles in Banach space theory. Namely, there is an isomorphism invariant class of Banach spaces (of Asplund generated spaces) associated with it in the sense that if K is an RN compact then, the space C(K) of real valued continuous functions on K is an Asplund generated space, and if K is an Asplund generated space, then the dual ball K0 is RN compact.

A version of the above question on continuous images of RN compacta on the Banach space level, i.e., if subspaces of Asplund generated spaces are Asplund generated was answered in the negative already 30 years ago in [35]. In this language our result is equivalent to constructing a subspace $Y \subseteq X$ of an Asplund generated space X such that the dual ball B_{Y^*} is not RN compact (see [14]). Note that Stegall's argument from [35] is far from achieving this, as it uses Rosenthal's non WCG subspace of a WCG space from [32], but by [8] the dual unit ball of the subspace is even an Eberlein compactum and so RN compact. The point here is that B_{X^*} may be RN compact for X not Asplund generated but $B_{C(K)^*}$ is RN compact if and only if K is RN compact if and only if C(K) is Asplund generated. We also have a similar chain of equivalences for RN replaced by a continuous image of RN and Asplund generated replaced by a subspace of Asplund generated (see [12, 14, 15]). It follows that both classes of RN compact spaces and their continuous images are stable under taking isomorphism of their space of continuous functions, meaning that

- (1) If L is RN compact and C(K) is isomorphic to C(L), then K is also RN compact.
- (2) If L is a continuous image of an RN compact space and C(K) is isomorphic to C(L), then K is also a continuous image of an RN compact space.

Now, if we combine these facts with the already mentioned result that an almost totally disconnected image of an RN compactum is RN compact, we obtain a remarkable consequence of our example:

Corollary 1.2. The space $C(\mathbb{L}_1)$ is not isomorphic to any C(K) where K is almost totally disconnected.

The question whether there could exist a compact space L such that C(L) is not isomorphic to any C(K) with K totally disconnected has been a long standing open problem motivated by the Bessaga Milutin Pełczyński classification of separable Banach spaces of the form C(K). It was first solved in the negative by the second author in [21]. However the example obtained there (and others which have been constructed later with similar techniques like in [29]) is very different from this one, because in that case C(L) was an indecomposable Banach space. This in particular means, on the level of compact space L, that it contains no convergent sequences and is strongly rigid (all nonidentity continuous maps from L into itself are constant) as shown in [33]. Moreover the dual ball $B_{C(L)^*}$ with the weak* topology satisfies a strong rigidity condition (23 of [22]). However, our space \mathbb{L}_1 has many nontrivial continuous transformations into itself and as a continuous image of an RN compactum, it is sequentially compact [24] and hence $C(\mathbb{L}_1)$ contains many infinite-dimensional co-infinite-dimensional complemented subspaces. Thus the fact that a C(K) space is not isomorphic to any C(L) for L totally disconnected does not imply properties of spaces from [21] like indecomposability or not being isomorphic to its hyperplanes and the geometry of such a space can be quite nice.

Let us now explain the main idea of our construction. For this we need a bunch of definitions.

Definition 1.3. Let K be a topological space and $d: K^2 \to \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{0\}$ be a metric on the set K (not related to the topology on K).

(1) We say that d fragments K if and only if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and every closed $F \subseteq K$ there is an open $U \subseteq K$ such that $U \cap F \neq \emptyset$ and

$$diam_d(U \cap F) = \sup\{d(x, y) : x, y \in U \cap F\} < \varepsilon.$$

- (2) If $K', K'' \subseteq K$, then $d(K', K'') = \inf\{d(x, y) : x \in K', y \in K''\}$,
- (3) We say that d is lower semi continuous (l.s.c.) if and only if given distinct $x, y \in K$ and $0 < \delta < d(x, y)$, there are open $U \ni x$ and $V \ni y$ such that $d(U, V) > \delta$.
- (4) We say that d is Reznichenko if and only if given distinct $x, y \in K$ there are open $U \ni x$ and $V \ni y$ such that d(U, V) > 0.

Fragmentability was formally introduced in [20] and its relation to RN compacta comes from the fact that every bounded subset of a dual space with the Radon-Nikodým property is fragmented by the dual norm [27, 34]. A compact space K is an RN compact space if and only if there is an l.s.c. metric on K which fragments K [24]. Compact spaces which are fragmented by a Reznichenko metric constitute a superclass of RN compact spaces, sometimes called strongly fragmentable compact spaces [13, 26], but which coincides with the class of quasi RN compact spaces introduced by Arvanitakis [3] by a result of Namioka [25] (cf. also [13]). What we need to know about quasi RN compacta is that the above mentioned result of Arvanitakis applies to them, that is, totally disconnected quasi RN compacta are RN compacta [3].

The main insight that leads to the construction is to see how to destroy the l.s.c. property of a metric without destroying the Reznichenko property. This is described

in Propositions 4.1, 4.3. It is done by a "smart" replacement of some point by the unit interval and can be interpreted as an operation of the so called resolution of a topological space. A central role of this method in topology is claimed in [37] where it is traced back to [16]. It is probably not a coincidence that the spaces constructed in [21] can also be viewed as obtained by versions of resolutions. We start with an RN compactum which is simple modifications of appropriate scattered space of height 3, just to make our resolutions powerful enough. Then we carefully do as many resolutions of nonisolated points as necessary to destroy all l.s.c. metrics i.e., to make sure that the resulted space is not RN compact. We need to predict all these l.s.c. metrics using a combinatorial or a descriptive set-theoretic tool. Finally it turns out that not only the space remains with a Reznichenko metric after all these resolutions but also its standard totally disconnected preimage maintains through the resolutions a metric which fragments it. So, it is enough to use the above mentioned result of Arvanitakis to conclude that this totally disconnected preimage is RN compact.

The structure of the paper is as follows: In Section 2 we introduce some basic notation. In Section 3 we present what we call a basic space, the starting point of our construction. In Section 4 we explain how to obtain a surjection $\pi: \mathbb{L}_0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}_1$ like in Theorem 1.1 from a basic space. Finally in Sections 5 and 6 we provide two different ways of constructing a basic space. The first one is based on a version of the Ciesielski-Pol compact space [9] and can be done within ZFC without additional axioms. The second construction is based on ladder systems on ω_1 (see [1], [30]) and assumes \diamondsuit . We found of interest to include the construction under \diamondsuit as well because it has additional properties, for instance separable subspaces of \mathbb{L}_0 and \mathbb{L}_1 are metrizable.

The compact spaces that we construct have weight $\mathfrak c$ but we do not know if perhaps $\mathfrak b$ is the optimal weight of a counterexample to the problem. The reader can find in [7] and [13] a number of interesting problems on RN compact that still remain open. For example, we may mention that it is unknown if every RN compact space is the continuous image of a zero-dimensional RN compact space, or if it is always homeomorphic to a subspace of the space of probability measures on a scattered space. We do not know as well whether the class of continuous images of RN compact spaces coincides with that of quasi RN compact spaces. It would be also interesting to find counterexamples to restricted forms of the continuous image problem, like the union of two RN compact spaces not to be RN, or the convex hull of an RN compact space not to be RN.

2. Some notations

By $\Delta=2^{\mathbb{N}}$ we will denote the Cantor set, the set of all infinite sequences of 0's and 1's endowed with the topology induced by the metric $\rho:\Delta\times\Delta\longrightarrow\mathbb{R}$ given by

$$\rho(x,y) = 2^{-\max\{k: x_k \neq y_k\}}$$

By $T=2^{<\omega}$ we denote the set of all finite sequences of 0's and 1's. For $t\in T$ by |t| we denote the cardinality of t, that is, its length. If $t=(t_1,\ldots,t_n)\in T$ and $s=(s_1,\ldots)\in T\cup \Delta$, we denote $t\cap s=(t_1,\ldots,t_n,s_1,s_2,\ldots)$. If $t=(t_1,t_2\ldots)\in T\cup \Delta$ and $s=(s_1,s_2,\ldots)\in T\cup \Delta$, t< s refers to the lexicographical order, so it means

that there exists k such that $t_k < s_k$ but $t_i = s_i$ for i < k.

Given $s, t \in T$, we consider the continuous function $\Gamma_s^t : \Delta \longrightarrow \Delta$ defined as:

- $\begin{array}{l} \bullet \ \Gamma^t_s(z) = t^{\frown}(0,0,0,\ldots) \ \text{if} \ z < s, \\ \bullet \ \Gamma^t_s(s^{\frown}\lambda) = t^{\frown}\lambda \ \text{for every} \ \lambda \in \Delta, \\ \bullet \ \Gamma^t_s(z) = t^{\frown}(1,1,1,\ldots) \ \text{if} \ z > s. \end{array}$

The function $q: \Delta \longrightarrow [0,1]$ is the standard continuous surjection given by

$$q(t_1, t_2, \ldots) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{t_k}{2^k}$$

Notice that q transfers the lexicographical order of Δ to the usual order of [0,1], in the sense that $x \leq y$ implies that $q(x) \leq q(y)$.

3. The starting basic space

We shall call a basic space a compact scattered space K which can be written as $K = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n \cup B \cup C$ satisfying the following properties

- (1) All points of $A = \bigcup_n A_n$ are isolated in K.
- (2) For every $x \in \underline{B}$ there exists an infinite set $C_x \subset A$ such that $\overline{C_x} = C_x \cup \{x\}$ and moreover, $\overline{C_x}$ is open in K.
- (3) There exists a function $\psi: B \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that: Given any family $\{X_m^n:$ $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ of subsets of A with $A_n = \bigcup_m X_m^n$ for every n, there exists $x \in B$ such that $C_x \cap X^n_{\psi(x)[n]}$ is infinite for all n.

4. How to obtain the desired continuous image from a basic space

The first step is to consider the compact space L obtained from the basic space K by substituting each point of A by a copy of the Cantor set Δ . That is,

$$L = (A \times \Delta) \cup B \cup C$$

A basic neighborhood of a point (a, t) is of the form $\{a\} \times U$ where U is a neighborhood of t in Δ . A basic neighborhood of a point $x \in B \cup C$ is of the form $((U \cap A) \times \Delta) \cup U \setminus A$, where U is a neighborhood of x in K.

We shall use the countable set $T=2^{<\omega}$ instead of N in order to describe the basic space K. So we shall write $A = \bigcup_{t \in T} A_t$ instead $A = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n$, and the last condition on our basic space will be now read as:

(3') There exists a function $\psi: B \longrightarrow T^T$ such that: Given any family $\{X_s^t: X_s^t : X_s^t$ $s,t\in T$ of subsets of A with $A_t=\bigcup_s X_s^t$ for every t, there exists $x\in B$ such that $C_x \cap X_{\psi(x)[t]}^t$ is infinite for all $t \in T$.

For every $x \in B$ we consider a continuous function $g_x : L \setminus \{x\} \longrightarrow \Delta$ defined in the following way:

- (1) $g_x(y) = 0$ whenever $y \notin C_x \times \Delta$, $y \neq x$, (2) $g_x(a, z) = \Gamma^t_{\psi(x)[t]}(z)$ for $a \in A_t \cap C_x$, $z \in \Delta$.

¹we denote by $\psi(x)[n]$ the evaluation on n of the function $\psi(x): \mathbb{N} \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}$

We also consider $f_x: L \setminus \{x\} \longrightarrow [0,1], f_x = q \circ g_x$.

Now, we are in a position to define the announced $\pi: \mathbb{L}_0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}_1$. Let

$$\mathbb{L}_0 = \left\{ [u, v] \in L \times \Delta^B : g_x(u) = v_x \text{ for all } x \in B \setminus \{u\} \right\}$$

$$\mathbb{L}_1 = \left\{ [u, v] \in L \times [0, 1]^B : f_x(u) = v_x \text{ for all } x \in B \setminus \{u\} \right\}$$

$$\pi[u, v] = [u, q(v_x)_{x \in B}].$$

Notice an important fact about the structure of \mathbb{L}_0 and \mathbb{L}_1 . When $u \in L \setminus B$, there is a unique point of \mathbb{L}_i of the form [u,v]. However, when $u \in B$, the set $\{[u,v] \in \mathbb{L}_i\}$ is homeomorphic to Δ when i=0 and to [0,1] when i=1, because all coordinates v_x are determined by u as $v_x = g_x(u)$ (or $f_x(u)$) when $x \neq u$, but v_u can take any value from Δ (or [0,1]). In this way, we can think that we have splitted each point of B into a Cantor set (or into an interval) following the functions g_x (or respectively f_x).

Proposition 4.1. \mathbb{L}_0 is RN compact.

Proof. We check first that \mathbb{L}_0 is closed in $K \times \Delta^B$, hence compact. So fix $[u,v] \in K \times \Delta^B \setminus \mathbb{L}_0$ and we find a neighborhood of [u,v] disjoint from \mathbb{L}_0 . Since $[u,v] \not\in \mathbb{L}_0$, there exists $x \neq u$ such that $g_x(u) \neq v_x$. Let V and W be disjoint open neighborhoods in Δ of $g_x(u)$ and v_x respectively. Let U be a neighborhood of u in L such that $g_x(U) \subset V$ and $x \notin U$. The neighborhood we are looking for is

$$\tilde{\mathbb{U}} = \{ [u', v'] \in L \times \Delta^B : u' \in U, v'_x \in W \}.$$

Indeed, if $[u', v'] \in \tilde{\mathbb{U}}$, then $x \neq u'$ since $x \notin U$, but $g_x(u') \neq v'_x$ because $g_x(u') \in V$ while $v'_x \in W$.

Consider the following metric $d: \mathbb{L}_0 \times \mathbb{L}_0 \longrightarrow [0, 1]$:

- (1) d([u,v],[u,v]) = 0,
- (2) $d([u, v], [u, v']) = \rho(v_u, v'_u)$ if $u \in B$,
- (3) $d([u, v], [u', v']) = \rho(r, r')$ if $u, u' \in A \times \Delta$, u = (a, r), u' = (a, r'),
- (4) d([u,v],[u',v']) = 1 in any remaining case when $[u,v] \neq [u',v']$.

Claim 1. The metric d fragments \mathbb{L}_0 .

Recall the definition of fragmentability (1) 1.3 and consider a nonempty $Y \subset \mathbb{L}_0$,

- (1) If Y contains a point of the form [u, v], with $u = (a, r) \in A \times \Delta$, then take U a neighborhood of r in Δ of ρ -diameter less than ε , and then $V = \{[u, v] : u = (a, s), s \in U\}$ is a neighborhood of [u, v] of d-diameter less than ε .
- (2) If Y does not contain any point as in the previous case, then $u \in B \cup C$ for all $[u,v] \in Y$. Since $B \cup C \subset K$ is scattered, we can find u^0 an isolated point of the set $Z = \{u \in B \cup C : \exists v \ [u,v] \in Y\}$. Suppose $[u^0,v^0] \in Y$, let U be a neighborhood of u^0 in L that isolates u^0 inside Z, and W a neighborhood of $v^0_{u^0}$ in Δ of ρ -diameter less than ε . Then

$$V = \{ [u, v] \in Y : u \in U, v_{u^0} \in W \} \subset \{ [u_0, v] : v_{u^0} \in W \}$$

is a nonempty relative open subset of Y of d-diameter less than ε .

Claim 2. The metric d is a Reznichenko metric.

By (4) of 1.3 to prove that d is Reznichenko, given $[u^0, v^0] \neq [u^1, v^1]$, we must find neighborhoods U and V of $[u^0, v^0]$ and $[u^1, v^1]$ respectively such that

$$d(U, V) = \inf\{d(z, z') : z \in U, z' \in V\} > 0$$

We distinguish several cases:

- (1) If $u^0, u^1 \in A \times \Delta$, $u^0 = (a, r)$, $u^1 = (a, r')$, then we can take J and J' neighborhoods of r and r' respectively at positive ρ -distance, and then take $U = \{[(a, s), v] \in \mathbb{L}_0 : s \in J\}$ and $V = \{[(a, s), v] \in \mathbb{L}_0 : s \in J'\}$.
- (2) In any other case when $u^0 \neq u^1$, we can take neighborhoods G and G' of u^0 and u^1 such that d([u, v], [u', v']) = 1 whenever $u \in G$ and $u' \in G'$.
- (3) If $u^0 = u^1 = x \in B$, we consider G and G' disjoint clopen neighborhoods of v_x^0 and v_x^1 respectively inside Δ . Let $W = (C_x \times \Delta) \cup \{x\}$ which is a clopen neighborhood of x in L. We claim that $U = \{[u,v] : u \in W, v_x \in G\}$ and $V = \{[u,v] : u \in W, v_x \in G'\}$ are at a positive d-distance as required. If they were not, we could find sequences $e_n \in U$ and $\tilde{e}_n \in V$ such that $d(e_n, \tilde{e}_n) \to 0$. We can suppose that $e_n = [(a_n, z_n), v^n], \ \tilde{e}_n = [(a_n, \tilde{z}_n), \tilde{v}^n)],$ the a_n is the same in both cases since otherwise $d(e_n, \tilde{e}_n) = 1$. By passing to a subsequence we can suppose that $v_x^n \to w \in G$ and $\tilde{v}_x^n \to \tilde{w} \in G'$. By passing to a further subsequence, we can reduce this case to one of the following two subcases:
 - (a) either there is a t such that $a_n \in A_t$ for all n. Then $v_x^n = \Gamma_{\psi(x)[t]}^t(z_n)$ and $\tilde{v}_x^n = \Gamma_{\psi(x)[t]}^t(\tilde{z}_n)$. Since $\rho(z_n, \tilde{z}_n) = d(e_n, \tilde{e}_n) \to 0$, the continuity of $\Gamma_{\psi(x)[t]}^t$ implies that $\rho(w, \tilde{w}) = 0$, a contradiction.
 - (b) or $a_n \in A_{t_n}$ and $|t_n| \to \infty$. In that case, the ρ -diameter of $\Gamma^{t_n}_{\psi(x)[t_n]}(\Delta) = \{t_n^-\lambda : \lambda \in \Delta\}$ tends to 0 as well. Again, this implies that $\rho(v_x^n, \tilde{v}_x^n) \to 0$ and $w = \tilde{w}$, a contradiction.

Every compact space fragmented by a Reznichenko metric is quasi-RN [25], and every zero-dimensional quasi-RN compact space is RN compact [3].

Remark 4.2. There is a quite natural way of redefining the metric d on the pairs [u, v], [u, v'] for $u \in B$ and $v \in \Delta$ to obtain an l.s.c. quasimetric (see [3]) on \mathbb{L}_0 which could give another proof of the RN property following the results of [3]

Proposition 4.3. \mathbb{L}_1 is not RN compact.

Proof. First, \mathbb{L}_1 is compact being a continuous image of \mathbb{L}_0 . If \mathbb{L}_1 is RN compact, then there exists a lower semicontinuous metric $\delta: \mathbb{L}_1 \times \mathbb{L}_1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which fragments \mathbb{L}_1 . Given $a \in A$ and $z \in \Delta$ let us denote by a + z the unique point of \mathbb{L}_1 of the form a + z = [(a, z), v]. By the fragmentability condition, whenever $a \in A_t$ we can find $s(a) \in T$ such that

$$\delta(a+s(a)^{(0,0,\ldots)},a+s(a)^{(1,1,\ldots)})<\frac{1}{4^{|t|}}.$$

Let $X_s^t = \{a \in A_t : s(a) = s\}$, so that $A_t = \bigcup_{s \in T} X_s^t$ for every $t \in T$. We are in the position to apply the fundamental property (3') of our basic space, so that we can find $x \in B$ such that $C_x \cap X_{\psi(x)[t]}^t$ is infinite for all $t \in T$. This means that for every

 $t \in T$ we can find an infinite sequence $\{a_n\} \subset C_x \cap A_t$ such that $s(a_n) = \psi(x)[t]$ for every n. Now, for every $\xi \in [0,1]$ let us denote $x \oplus \xi = [x,v] \in \mathbb{L}_1$, where $v_x = \xi$ and $v_y = f_y(x)$ for $y \in B \setminus \{x\}$. If we remember the definition of f_x and g_x , we notice that

$$f_x(a_n + \psi(x)[t] \cap (0,0,0,\ldots)) = q(t \cap (0,0,0,\ldots)) =: t^0$$

$$f_x(a_n + \psi(x)[t] \cap (1,1,1,\ldots)) = q(t \cap (1,1,1,\ldots)) =: t^1$$

Now, taking limits when $n \to \infty$, $a_n + \psi(x)[t]^{\widehat{}}(i, i, i, ...) \to x \oplus \xi^i$ where, by looking at the x-coordinate,

$$\xi^{i} = \lim_{n} f_{x} (a_{n} + \psi(x)[t] (i, i, i, ...)) = q(t (i, i, i, ...)) = t^{i}$$

Using the lower semicontinuity² of δ , we conclude that

$$\delta(x \oplus t^0, x \oplus t^1) \le \frac{1}{4^{|t|}}$$

and this happens for every $t \in T$. Now fix $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and observe that

$$\{[t^0, t^1] : t \in T, |t| = m\} = \{[(k-1)2^{-m}, k2^{-m}] : k = 1, \dots, 2^m\}$$

so we can apply the triangle inequality of the metric δ and we obtain that

$$\delta(x \oplus 0, x \oplus 1) \le 2^m \frac{1}{4^m} = \frac{1}{2^m}$$

but this happening for every m contradicts the fact that $\delta(x \oplus 0, x \oplus 1) > 0$.

Remark 4.4. Note that the above proof does not work for \mathbb{L}_0 because

$$\{[t \cap (0,0,0,\ldots), t \cap (1,1,1,\ldots)] : t \in T, |t| = m\}$$

do not form consecutive intervals, that is, their left ends are not equal to any of their right ends, and so the triangle inequality cannot be applied as in the proof above.

5. A BASIC SPACE OF THE FORM OF THE CIESIELSKI-POL COMPACT

Remember that a set $S \subset \mathbb{R}$ is called a Bernstein set if both $S \cap P$ and $S \setminus P$ are nonempty for every perfect set $P \subset \mathbb{R}$. The classical result of Bernstein is that such a set exist: it is constructed by transfinite induction by enumerating all possible perfect subsets of \mathbb{R} as $\{P_{\xi}: \xi < \mathfrak{c}\}$ and at every step ξ choosing new points $x_{\xi}, y_{\xi} \in P_{\xi}$ and declaring $x_{\xi} \in S$ and $y_{\xi} \notin S$. A minor modification of this argument yields the existence of \mathfrak{c} many disjoint Bernstein sets: write $\mathfrak{c} = \bigcup \{I_{\alpha}: \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\}$ with $|I_{\alpha}| = \mathfrak{c}$, and assume that for every α , $\{P_{\xi}: \xi \in I_{\alpha}\}$ enumerates all perfect subsets of \mathbb{R} ; then at step $\xi \in I_{\alpha}$, choose new $x_{\xi}, y_{\xi} \in P_{\xi}$ and declare $x_{\xi} \in S_{\alpha}$ and $y_{\xi} \notin S_{\alpha}$.

The basic space that we are going to construct is of the form $K = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n \cup B \cup \{\infty\}$ where the sets A_n and the set B are pairwise disjoint Bernstein subsets of \mathbb{R} . All points of $A = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n$ will be of course isolated, the space $A \cup B$ will be locally compact (its topology will be a refinement of the topology inherited from \mathbb{R}) and K its one-point compactification. In order to describe completely our basic space we need to say which are the sets C_x for $x \in B$ (that will provide a basis

²We are using the following property of a lower semicontinuous metric, which is a direct consequence of Definition 1.3: if $x_n \to x$, $y_n \to y$ and $\delta(x_n, y_n) \le \varepsilon$ for every n, then $\delta(x, y) \le \varepsilon$.

of neighborhoods of such $x \in B$: all $H \cup \{x\}$ where H is cofinite in C_x) and also which is the function $\psi : B \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}$.

All topological notions below refer to the standard topology on \mathbb{R} . Let $(F_{\alpha})_{\alpha < \mathfrak{c}}$ be an enumeration of all sequences $(F_{\alpha}(n,m))_{n,m\in\mathbb{N}}$ of countable subsets of \mathbb{R} such that $F_{\alpha}(n,m)\subseteq A_n$ for each $n,m\in\mathbb{N}$ and

$$\boxplus F_{\alpha} = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \overline{F_{\alpha}(n, m)}$$

contains a perfect set.

We construct $\{x_{\alpha} : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\} \subseteq B$, the sets $C_{x_{\alpha}}$ and and $\psi(x_{\alpha})$ by induction on $\alpha < \mathfrak{c}$. Given α we pick

$$x_{\alpha} \in \boxplus F_{\alpha} \setminus \{x_{\beta} : \beta < \alpha\}.$$

We define $\psi(x_{\alpha}) = (m_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ to be such a sequence that $x_{\alpha} \in \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \overline{F_{\alpha}(n, m_n)}$ which exists since $x_{\alpha} \in \boxplus F_{\alpha}$. Then take as $C_{x_{\alpha}}$ the terms of a sequence which converges in \mathbb{R} to x_{α} and such that for every n, $C_{x_{\alpha}}$ contains infinitely many elements from $F_{\alpha}(n, m_n)$. After the inductive procedure is finished, For the remaining elements $x \in B \setminus \{x_{\alpha} : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\}$, we define $\psi(x)$ to be any arbitrary value, and C_x any sequence of elements of A convergent to x.

We finally check that the key property (3) of basic spaces is satisfied. Suppose that we have $A_n = \bigcup X_m^n$ for every n. For every n, the set $\bigcup \overline{X_m^n}$ is a Borel set and it intersects every perfect set since it contains the Bernstein set A_n . Therefore $\bigcup \overline{X_m^n}$ is cocountable in $\mathbb R$ (every uncountable Borel set contains a perfect set). Therefore

$$\bigcap_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\bigcup_{m\in\mathbb{N}}\overline{X_m^n}$$

is cocountable and in particular, contains a perfect set. We choose countable sets $F(n,m) \subset X_m^n$ with $\overline{F(n,m)} = \overline{X_m^n}$. Then, since

$$\boxplus F_{\alpha} = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \overline{X_m^n}$$

contains a perfect set, this sequence must appear in our enumeration as $F = F_{\alpha}$ for some $\alpha < \mathfrak{c}$. Let us see that $x = x_{\alpha}$ is the element of B that we are looking for. Indeed, by the way we chose $C_{x_{\alpha}}$ and $\psi(x_{\alpha})$, we know that $C_{x_{\alpha}}$ contains infinitely many elements from $F_{\alpha}(n, m_n) \subset X_{m_n}^n = X_{\psi(x_{\alpha})[n]}^n$, for every n. This finishes the proof.

6. A basic space from a ladder system under \Diamond

Definition 6.1. $(D_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \omega_1}$ is called a \diamondsuit -sequence if and only if for every $X \subseteq \omega_1$ the set

$$\{\alpha \in \omega_1 : D_\alpha = X \cap \alpha\}$$

is stationary (i.e., intersects all closed in the order topology and unbounded subsets of ω_1). \diamond is a statement that a \diamond -sequence exists.

In the basic space that we construct now, $K = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \cup B \cup C$, we will have

- (1) A_n is the set of all countable ordinals of the form $\alpha + n$, where α is a limit ordinal. Hence $A = \bigcup_{1}^{\infty} A_n$ is the set of all countable successor ordinals.
- (2) B is the set of all countable limit ordinals, except 0.

(3)
$$C = \{\omega_1\}.$$

The sets $\{C_x : x \in B\}$ will be a ladder system in ω_1 . That is, for every $x \in B$, $C_x = \{\beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots\} \subset A$ with $\beta_1 < \beta_2 < \cdots$ and $\sup\{\beta_n : n < \omega\} = x$. Once the ladder system is given, the topology considered on K is such that each point of A is isolated, a basis of neighborhoods of $x \in B$ are the sets $H \cup \{x\}$ with H cofinite in C_x , and K is the one-point compactification of the locally compact space $A \cup B$.

Now, we have to explain how to find a ladder system $\{C_x : x \in B\}$ and a function $\psi : B \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ so that the fundamental property (3) of a basic space is satisfied. So let $(D_{\alpha})_{\alpha < \omega_1}$ be a \diamondsuit -sequence. Let $x \in B$. Suppose first that:

- (1) $x \neq \omega$,
- (2) $D_x \subset A$,
- (3) $\sup(D_x \cap A_n) = x$ for every n, and
- (4) there exists $f: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ such that $D_x \cap \omega = \{2^n 3^{f(n)} : n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots\}$.

If all this conditions hold, we define $\psi(x) = f$ and C_x to be some increasing sequence of elements of $D_x \setminus \omega$ whose supremum is x and which contains infinitely many elements of A_n for every n. For the remaining $x \in B$ that do not satisfy the conditions above, we define C_x and $\psi(x)$ in an arbitrary way.

Now suppose that $A_n = \bigcup_m X_m^n$ as in condition (3) of a basic space. For every n, choose $m_n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $X_{m_n}^n$ is uncountable. Let G_n be the set of all limit ordinals which are the supremum of some sequence contained in $X_{m_n}^n$. Notice that this is a closed and unbounded subset of ω_1 . Define

$$X = \{2^n 3^{m_n} : 1 \le n < \omega\} \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (X_{m_n}^n \setminus \omega).$$

By the choice of $(D_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in L(\omega_1)}$, there is $\alpha > \omega$, $\alpha \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} G_n$ such that $X \cap \alpha = D_{\alpha}$. Then $x = \alpha$ is the element that we were looking for.

References

- A. Arhangelski Topological function spaces, Math. Appl., vol. 78, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1992.
- [2] A. V. Arkhangel'skiĭ, General topology. II, Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, vol. 50, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996.
- [3] A. D. Arvanitakis, Some remarks on Radon-Nikodým compact spaces, Fund. Math. 172 (2002), no. 1, 41–60.
- [4] A. D. Arvanitakis, A. Avilés, Some examples of continuous images of Radon-Nikodym compact spaces, Czechoslovak Math. J. 59(134) (2009), no. 4, 1027 1038.
- [5] A. Avilés, Radon-Nikodym compacts spaces of low weight and Banach spaces, Studia Math 166 (1), 71-82 (2005)
- [6] A. Avilés, Linearly-ordered Radon-Nidkodým compact spaces, Topology Appl. 154 (2007), no. 2, 404-409.
- [7] A. Avilés, O. F. K. Kalenda, Compactness in Banach space theory selected problems, Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat., Ser. A Mat., RACSAM 104 (2010), No. 2, 337–352.
- [8] Y. Benyamini, M. E. Rudin, M. Wage, Continuous images of weakly compact subsets of Banach spaces. Pacific J. Math. 70 (1977), no. 2, 309 - 324.
- [9] K. Ciesielski, R. Pol, A weakly Lindelöf function space C(K) without any continuous injection into c₀(Γ), Bull. Pol. Acad. Sci., Math. 32 (1984), 681–688.
- [10] W. Davis, T. Figiel, W. Johnson, A. Pełczyński, Factoring weakly compact operators. J. Functional Analysis 17 (1974), 311 - 327.

- [11] J. Diestel, J.; J. J. Uhl, Vector measures. With a foreword by B. J. Pettis. Mathematical Surveys, No. 15. American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1977.
- [12] M. Fabian, Gâteaux differentiability of convex functions and topology. Weak Asplund spaces, Canadian Mathematical Society Series of Monographs and Advanced Texts, A Wiley-Interscience Publication, John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 1997.
- [13] M. Fabian, Overclasses of the class of Radon-Nikodým compact spaces, Methods in Banach space theory. Proceedings of the V conference on Banach spaces, Cáceres, Spain, September 13–18, 2004. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series 337, 197-214 (2006).
- [14] M. Fabian, M. Heisler, and E. Matoušková, Remarks on continuous images of Radon-Nikodým compacta, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. 39 (1998), no. 1, 59–69.
- [15] M. Fabian, V. Montesinos, V. Zizler, Weak compactness and σ-Asplund generated Banach spaces, Stud. Math. 181, No. 2, 125–152 (2007).
- [16] V. Fedorchuk, Bicompacta with noncoinciding dimensionalities. (Russian) Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 182 1968 275–277.
- [17] A. Grothendieck, Produits tensoriels topologiques et espaces nucléaires. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 1955 (1955), no. 16.
- [18] S.P. Gul'ko, On properties of subsets of Σ-products, Sov. Math. Dokl. 18 (1977), no. 1, 1438–1442.
- [19] M. Iancu and S. Watson, On continuous images of Radon-Nikodým compact spaces through the metric characterization, Topology Proc. 26 (20012002), 677-693.
- [20] J. E. Jayne and C. A. Rogers, Borel selectors for upper semicontinuous set-valued maps, Acta Math. 155 (1985), 41–79.
- [21] P. Koszmider, Banach spaces of continuous functions with few operators, Math. Ann. 330 (2004), No. 1, 151–183.
- [22] P. Koszmider, A survey on Banach spaces C(K) with few operators. Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat. Ser. A Math. RACSAM 104 (2010), no. 2, 309–326.
- [23] E. Matoušková and C. Stegall, Compact spaces with a finer metric topology and Banach spaces, General topology in Banach spaces, Nova Sci. Publ., Huntington, NY, 2001, pp. 81– 101.
- [24] I. Namioka, Radon-Nikodým compact spaces and fragmentability, Mathematika 34 (1987), no. 2, 258–281.
- [25] I. Namioka, On generalizations of Radon-Nikodým compact spaces, Topology Proceedings 26 (2002), 741–750.
- [26] I. Namioka, Fragmentability in Banach spaces: interactions of topologies, RACSAM 104 (2010), no. 2, 283–308.
- [27] I. Namioka and R. Phelps, Banach spaces which are Asplund spaces, Duke Math. J. 42 (1975), 735–750.
- [28] J. Orihuela, W. Schachermayer, and M. Valdivia, Every Radon-Nikodým Corson compact space is Eberlein compact, Studia Math. 98 (1991), no. 2, 157–174.
- [29] G. Plebanek, A construction of a Banach space C(K) with few operators. Topology Appl. 143 (2004), No. 1-3, 217–239.
- [30] R. Pol, A function space C(X) which is weakly Lindelöf but not weakly compactly generated. Studia Math. 64 (1979), no. 3, 279–285.
- [31] O. I. Reynov, On a class of Hausdorff compacts and GSG Banach spaces, Studia Math. (1981/82), 294–300.
- [32] H. Rosenthal, The heredity problem for weakly compactly generated Banach spaces. Compositio Math. 28 (1974), 83111.
- [33] I. Schlackow, Centripetal operators and Koszmider spaces. Topology Appl. 155 (2008), no. 11, 1227 - 1236.
- [34] C. Stegall, The duality between Asplund spaces and spaces with the Radon-Nikdodým property, Israel J. Math. 29 (1978), 408–412.
- [35] C. Stegall, The Radon-Nikodým property in conjugate Banach spaces. II. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 264 (1981), no. 2, 507519.
- [36] C. Stegall, Spaces of Lipschitz functions on Banach spaces, Functional analysis (Essen, 1991), Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., vol. 150, Dekker, New York, 1994, pp. 265–278.
- [37] S. Watson, The construction of topological spaces: planks and resolutions. Recent progress in general topology (Prague, 1991), 673 - 757, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1992.

 $E ext{-}mail\ address: avileslo@um.es}$

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD DE MURCIA, 30100 MURCIA (SPAIN)

 $E\text{-}mail\ address{:}\ \texttt{P.Koszmider@Impan.pl}$

Institute of Mathematics, Polish Academy of Sciences, ul. Śniadeckich 8, 00-956 Warszawa, Poland